

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/049,214	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BEDELL, DANIEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher Upton	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Neil Sudol.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*All*

Claims discussed:  
*1, 18, 21*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to clarify the claims and patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**CHRISTOPHER UPTON**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)